

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO | |
|-----------------|-------------------|-------------------------|---------------------------------------|-----------------|--|
| 10/690,438 | 10/21/2003 | Minh Tran | A-1673con 3709 | | |
| . 75 | 90 07/07/2005 | | EXAM | INER | |
| Donald E. Stout | | | DAVIS, DANIEL J | | |
| Stout, Uxa, Buy | an & Mullins, LLP | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | |
| Suite 300 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | | |
| 4 Venture | | | 3731 | | |
| Irvine, CA 92 | 618 | DATE MAILED: 07/07/2005 | | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | Application | on No. | Applicant(s) | 7 | |
|--|--|---|---|---|-------------|--|
| Office Action Summary | | 10/690,43 | 38 | TRAN, MINH | | |
| | | Examiner | • | Art Unit | · | |
| | | D. Jacob I | Davis | 3731 | | |
| The MAILING DAT | TE of this communication a | ppears on the | cover sheet with the | correspondence addre | ess | |
| A SHORTENED STATU THE MAILING DATE OF Extensions of time may be avail after SIX (6) MONTHS from the If the period for reply specified a If NO period for reply is specifie Failure to reply within the set or | TORY PERIOD FOR REF THIS COMMUNICATION able under the provisions of 37 CFR mailing date of this communication. bove is less than thirty (30) days, a r d above, the maximum statutory perior extended period for reply will, by stat later than three months after the ma See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | N. 1.136(a). In no every reply within the state od will apply and w tute, cause the app | ent, however, may a reply be utory minimum of thirty (30) d ill expire SIX (6) MONTHS fro lication to become ABANDOI | timely filed lays will be considered timely. om the mailing date of this comm | nunication. | |
| Status | | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | ./ | |
| 4a) Of the above c 5) Claim(s) is/ 6) Claim(s) is/ 7) Claim(s) is/ | are rejected. | rawn from co | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | |
| 10) The drawing(s) file Applicant may not re Replacement drawin | s objected to by the Examid on is/are: a) a equest that any objection to the g sheet(s) including the correction is objected to by the | ccepted or b) he drawing(s) l ection is requir | pe held in abeyance. Some of the drawing(s) is the drawing(s) is the drawing(s) is the drawing(s). | See 37 CFR 1.85(a). objected to. See 37 CFR | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § | 119 | | | | | |
| a) All b) Some 1. Certified co 2. Certified co 3. Copies of the application in the company of | s made of a claim for forei * c) None of: bies of the priority docume cies of the priority docume e certified copies of the priority from the International Bure etailed Office action for a l | ents have bee ents have bee riority docum eau (PCT Rul | en received. en received in Applica ents have been rece e 17.2(a)). | ation No ived in this National Sta | age | |
| Attachment(s) | | | | | | |
| | ent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ment(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 | 08) | 4) Interview Summa Paper No(s)/Mail 5) Notice of Informa 6) Other: | | 52) | |

Page 2

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

I. Claims 1-18, drawn to an apparatus, classified in class 606, subclass 71.

II. Claims 19-20, drawn to a method of use, classified in class 606, subclass 232.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions Group I and Group II are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the apparatus may be used to perform a materially different method. For example, the device as claimed may be used as an artificial ligament wherein the suture material functions as a ligament and the anchor secures it in position.

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

| <u>SPECIES</u> | FIGURES | | |
|----------------|---------|--|--|
| A | 1 | | |
| В | . 7 | | |
| 0 | 0 | | |

Application/Control Number: 10/690,438

Art Unit: 3731

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claims are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

A telephone call was made to Donald E. Stout on June 30, 2005 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Art Unit: 3731

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to D. Jacob Davis whose telephone number is (571) 272-4693. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Anhtuan T. Nguyen can be reached on (571) 272-4963. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

DJD

GLENN K. DAWSON PRIMARY EXAMINER